IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR:CHHATTISGARH.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3299 /2003.

PETITIONER : T.R. Verma, S/o Shri Ramadhin Verma, Aged 42 years, Forest Range Officer, Saraipali, Mahasamund Division, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.).

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS : 1). State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Forest, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.).

2). Divisional Forest Officer, Mahasamund, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G)

3). Election Commission of India, Nirvachan Bhawan, New Delhi.

P.R. No. 3156/03
Presented by Shri Qaiser Deher
dated 10/10/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3299/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	5-12-2003 Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Sharmila Singhai, Deputy Govt. Advocate takes notice for respondents 1 and 2. By this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to challenge the legality, validity and propriety of the order dated 6-10-2003 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from the office of Forest Range Officer, Saraipali to Forest Range Office, Lamni in Bilaspur Forest Division. The petitioner is a Forest Range Officer and presently posted at Saraipali Range in Mahasamund Forest Division and he has been transferred to Forest Range Office, Lamni in Bilaspur Forest Division. The case of the petitioner is that his two daughters are studying in class 10th and 12th respectively at Kapa and on account of this mid session transfer the whole family life of the petitioner will be disturbed and the education of the children will also be disturbed. The petitioner is working in present place more than last 3 years, therefore, he may be allowed to make detailed representation to respondent No.1 to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(5A)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within a period 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will consider the representation of the petitioner and after considering all his grievances shall pass reasoned order in an objective manner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 3013/2003 and I.A. No. 9692/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Barue

[पीछे देखिये